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WHAT IS TRAUMA?
What is Trauma?

• “Trauma is not an event in itself but, rather, a response to an experience that is so stressful that it overwhelms an individual’s capacity to cope”.

Susan Craig (2008) Reaching and Teaching Children Who Hurt
Trauma = Event, Experience, & Effect

Event

- Actual or extreme threat of harm

Experience

- Terror
- Horror
- Pain

Fight / Flight - Freeze

Helpless to escape

Overwhelms brain and body

Effect

- Dis-integration
- Dysregulation

Lasting adverse effects

(Herman, 1997; Van der Kolk, 2005; DSM-IV-TR; SAMHSA; Siegel, 2012; Bloom, 2013)
Chronic Stress Causes “Wear and Tear” on the Body

- Medical illnesses
  - Immune system suppression
  - Inflammatory diseases
  - Obesity
- Adverse effects on brain and cognitive functioning
- From stressors that are chronic, uncontrollable, experienced without support from caring others
- Can result from stressors like bigotry, poverty, chronic hunger

(Bloom, 2013; McEwen, 2000)
Stress and Trauma Are Public Health Issues

• Stress linked to 6 leading causes of death
• Heart disease, cancer, lung ailments, accidents, cirrhosis of the liver, and suicide
• Trauma impacts more than just the individual
• Ripple effect to others
• Some communities disproportionately affected:
• Bigotry + Urban Poverty + Trauma = Toxic
• Intergenerational transmission of trauma
• Systemic, preventative approach needed
Let's talk about Adverse Childhood Experiences
ACES

Felitti, Anda, et al. 1998
The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study

Examines the health and social effects of ACEs throughout the lifespan among 17,421 members of the Kaiser Health Plan in San Diego County

What do we mean by Adverse Childhood Experiences?

- childhood abuse and neglect
- growing up with domestic violence, substance abuse or mental illness in the home, parental discord, crime

Felitti, Anda, et al. 1998
ACE Study Design

Survey Wave I
(N = 9,508)
Index

Follow-up
(N = 17,421)

Survey Wave II
(N = 8,667)

Mortality
National Death

Morbidity
Hospital Discharge
Outpatient Visits

Utilization

All medical evaluations
abstracted from both waves

Emergency room visits
Pharmacy
Adverse Childhood Experiences Are Common

**Household dysfunction:**
- Substance abuse: 27%
- Parental sep/divorce: 23%
- Mental illness: 17%
- Battered mother: 13%
- Imprisoned household member: 6%

**Abuse:**
- Psychological: 11%
- Physical: 28%
- Sexual: 21%

**Neglect:**
- Emotional: 15%
- Physical: 10%
Adverse Childhood Experiences Score

Number of categories (not events) is summed…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACE Score</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more</td>
<td>11%*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Two out of three experienced at least one category of ACE.
- If any one ACE is present, there is an 87% chance at least one other category of ACE is present, and 50% chance of 3 or >.
- Women are 50% more likely than men to have a Score >5.

* Women are 50% more likely than men to have a Score >5.
Health Risks

Adverse Childhood Experiences vs. Smoking as an Adult

%
Health Risks

Childhood Experiences vs. Adult Alcoholism

0 1 2 3 4+
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Health risks

ACE Score vs Injection Drug Use
Emotional costs

Childhood Experiences Underlie Suicide Attempts
With an ACE Score of 0, the majority of adults have few, if any, risk factors for these diseases.
However, with an ACE Score of 4 or more, the majority of adults have multiple risk factors for these diseases or the diseases themselves.
Adverse Childhood Experiences Rarely Occur in Isolation...

They come in groups.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synergy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A <em>principle finding of recent work is the extent to which two or more adverse experiences interact so that the risk of a psychological disturbance following is multiplied, often many times over.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synergistic ACES Increase Complex Adult Psychopathology

• People who experience one ACE are statistically more likely to experience two or more ACES.
• Synergy is the interaction of two or more ACES so that their combined effect is greater than the sum of their individual effects.
Many chronic diseases in adults are determined decades earlier, in childhood.
Dismissing them as “bad habits” or “self-destructive behavior” comfortably misses their functionality.
The risk factors underlying these adult diseases are helpful short-term coping devices.
Evidence from ACE Study Indicates:

Adverse childhood experiences are the most basic cause of health risk behaviors, disease, disability, mortality, and healthcare costs.
Mechanism by Which Adverse Childhood Experiences Influence Health and Well-being Throughout the Lifespan
Challenges faced

• Inability to process information

• Unable to meaningfully distinguish between threatening and non-threatening situations

• Form trusting relationships with adults

• Modulate emotions
Impact on Memory

• Trauma can interfere with ability to encode, process and store information

• Trauma causes problems in both implicit (unconscious) and explicit (conscious) storage systems which thereby interferes with recall and recognition.
Why does trauma impact health?

• Stress, inflammation, epigenetic changes and neuroendocrine

• Psychological and social factors

• Adaptive behaviors to trauma that become maladaptive

How does trauma impact behavior

- Inappropriate behaviors
- Failure to understand directions
- “Overreacting” to comments or facial expressions.
- Hypervigilence
- Aggression

- Failures to connect cause and effect
- Perfectionism
- Depression
- Anxiety
- Self-destructive behaviors.
- Fear and Vulnerability
Thinking Brain and Survival Brain

- Thinking Brain = Rider
  Makes informed, rational decisions

- Survival Brain = Horse
  Protective instincts based on feelings

- When triggered, the rider falls off the horse

(Van der Kolk)(Ford, 2009)
Reframing Risk Behaviors

Tension reduction behaviors

• Drugs and alcohol

• Risk-taking behavior

• Self-injurious behavior

• Aggression

• Problematic sexual behaviors (where any connection feels better than abandonment and isolation)

(from training on Integrated Treatment for Complex Trauma by John Briere, 2009)
SFDPH Trauma 101 Slide
Dysregulation

• Dysregulation is difficulty controlling the influence of stress arousal on how we think, feel, behave and interact with others.

• This can happen when we are “triggered” into Survival Brain.
Prevalence of the dual diagnosis of PTSD and Substance Abuse

• Co-occurring diagnosis of PTSD and Substance Abuse in addiction treatment facilities - 12% - 34%

• Women in substance treatment – 30% - 59%

• Men in substance treatment – 11% - 38%

Typically PTSD symptoms preceded the onset of substance abuse.

New York: Guilford
Links Between PTSD and Substance Abuse

• Two main themes of both disorders are secrecy and control
• Each of the disorders makes the other more likely
• PTSD symptoms widely reported to become worse with initial abstinence
• Both situations produce a profound need to be in an altered state

Some trauma findings

- Being abused or neglected as a child increases the likelihood of arrest as a juvenile by 59%.
  (Widom, 1995)
- Arrest rates of trauma-exposed youth are up to 8 times higher than community samples of same-age peers.
  (Saigh et al, 1999; Saltzman et al, 2001)
- Childhood trauma is believed to have long term impact in the frontal, temporal and parietal regions of the brain and how information is processed.
  (Cook et al., 2009)
In a study of 77 women with current PTSD and substance dependence most of the treatment focused on substance abuse.

• 80% would choose to treat PTSD (either combined with substance abuse or alone)

• Fewer than 20% would choose substance abuse treatment alone

New York: Guilford
“As far back as I can remember someone was abusing me: my brother, my father, my distant mother. By the time I was 12, I was falling into abusive relationships with men, many who took advantage of a young desperate girl. I had begun to treat myself as I had been treated, as unimportant outside of giving people what they wanted from me. To cope with the memories and repeated traumas, I was using drugs supplied by people who professed to love me.”
“The more I use, the more I won’t feel anything. The pain is so bad you just want to die. There is no other way out. If you talk about it, it will hurt too much. So instead, keep it a secret. No one will know.”

Disruptive behavior

• Is a way of communicating

• Has meaning

• What need is this communicating?

• How can we meet this need?

• What is the healthy/caring intention behind this behavior?

• Ask yourself- what is happening here?
Definition of Trauma Informed Care

Trauma-informed care is an approach to engaging people with histories of trauma that recognizes the presence of trauma symptoms and acknowledges the role that trauma has played in their lives.

-National Center for Trauma Informed Care (NCTIC, www.samsha.gov/nctic, 2013)
Trauma-Informed Approach

1. Realizes the widespread impact of trauma and understands potential paths for recovery;

2. Recognizes the signs and symptoms of trauma in clients, families, staff, and others involved with the system;

3. Responds by fully integrating knowledge about trauma into policies, procedures, and practices; and

4. Seeks to actively resist re-traumatization.
Context

• Trauma provides the context for behaviors

• Move from:
  • What is wrong with you?
  • What has happened to you?
SAMHSA’s Six Key Principles of a Trauma-Informed Approach

1. Safety
2. Trustworthiness and Transparency
3. Peer support
4. Collaboration and mutuality
5. Empowerment, voice and choice
6. Cultural, Historical, and Gender Issues
Common mistakes

- In intervening with people who are responding due to their trauma we tend to:
  - Not pay attention to the context of trauma
  - Intervene too late
  - Intervene with a mismatched strategy
  - Not pay attention to how we are reacting (we have triggers too)
Relationship Building Tool:

Connect, then Re-Direct  (Siegel)

- **Partnership** “Let’s work together”
- **Empathy** “That sounds frustrating”
- **Apology** “I’m sorry that happened”
- **Respect** “You have gone through a lot”
- **Legitimation** “It makes sense that you feel this way”
- **Support** “Let’s see what we can do”

(American Academy on Communication in Health)
Removing Barriers to Care and Transforming Services for Survivors of Violent Crime
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Vanessa – What Happened?

• 50 year old African American woman
• Mother of 4, grandmother of 6
• Works full time as a security guard, PT hair stylist
• Drive by shooting:
  – Vanessa’s 31 year old daughter was killed
  – 18 year old son and 3 year old grandson seriously injured
Vanessa – What Happened?

Additionally

• Vanessa’s son-in-law had died 6 months earlier

• Vanessa needs to quit her jobs to care for her expanded family of 3 children and 6 grandchildren
Only 20% of crime victims were aware of victim’s restitution funds.

Only 4% of victims’ needs were addressed by the current victim services system.

Ethnic and racial minorities had the highest amount of unmet needs.
1 in 5 Californians have been a victim of crime in the last five years. Half of these were a victim of a **violent crime**.

2 in 3 of these crime victims have been victims of multiple crime in the past five years. **African Americans and Latinos are more likely to have been victims of three or more crimes in the past five years.**

Victims of violent crime are more likely to be low-income, young (especially under 30), and Latino or African American.

2 in 3 crime victims report anxiety, stress and difficulty with sleeping, relationships or work. Half of these reported that it took more than six months to recover from these experiences.

4 of 5 services available to crime victims (including assistance with accessing victims’ compensation) – were unknown to the majority of victims. **Of those who had used the services, almost 50% have trouble accessing services.**
## Verification of VCP Claims Eligibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>INFORMATION NEEDED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Law enforcement</td>
<td>Crime reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals</td>
<td>Verification that services provided to victims were crime-related and known reimbursement sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>Verification that services provided to victims were crime-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health providers</td>
<td>Verification that services provided to victims were necessary and crime-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employers</td>
<td>Employment dates and salary for lost wage requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Development Department</td>
<td>Unemployment or disability insurance payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Motor Vehicles</td>
<td>Insurance coverage in auto-involved crimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franchise Tax Board</td>
<td>Tax returns, if victim requests compensation for lost wages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health Services</td>
<td>Medi-Cal assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Social Services</td>
<td>Verification of public assistance received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A contract bill review service</td>
<td>Review and reduction of medical bills to allowable rates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Governor Schwarzenegger – California Performance Review - 2005
Time to Process VCP Applications

TRC Data N: 541 crime victims

- Average time between receipt of application and hearing date:
  109 days or about 3 months

- For denied claims:
  203 days or about 6 months
California Bureau of Audit

• 30% of applications take more than 3 months to process
• 51% of bills submitted take longer than 3 months to be paid
• 20% take more than 6 months to be paid
Vanessa – The Aftermath of Violence

- Flashbacks, nightmares, insomnia
- Panic attacks, fainting spells
- Fear of driving her car
- Loss of 35 lbs.
- Increase in High Blood Pressure, worsening of Diabetes
- Afraid to have her children/grandchildren leave the house
- Dealing with her “overwhelming” grief as well as needing to be “strong” for her family as her children/grandchildren grieved
- Suicidal ideation but a commitment to live for her children/grandchildren
Economic Consequences of Violence

- Untreated trauma results in greater likelihood of engaging in high risk health behaviors: smoking, alcohol, substance use, poor eating & exercise habits
- Victims of violence access health care 2 to 2.5 times more frequently than those without a history of violence
- Cost to the Health Care System in the U.S. $333 Billion to $750 Billion annually
- 17% - 37% of the total health care dollar is spent on the chronic medical consequences of untreated trauma

Academy on Violence and Abuse, 2009
Economic Consequences of Violence

Annual costs to victims due to medical expenses, lost wages, lost property

$17 Billion

Annual Costs to employers, insurers, government programs due to lost productivity, lost revenues, reliance on government entitlements

$330 Billion

NIJ Study, 2007
Traditional Psychotherapy for Trauma Victims

- Office-based, no home visits
- No practical assistance or coordination with other service systems
- 50-minute hour
- Feeling, insight, and disclosure-oriented
Vision:

Our vision is a community that heals the wounds of violence and embraces hope for a non-violent, compassionate world.
Vision: A New Model of Care
Integrated Trauma Recovery Services

• Emphasis upon assertive tracking, outreach, and engagement into services; AND
• Clinical case management to address all basic needs (medical, legal, financial, housing, services etc.); AND
• Evidence-based psychotherapy to target psychiatric distress and increase interpersonal safety
Engagement, Tracking & Outreach

- Many victims feel ashamed about entering therapy and/or avoid trauma reminders.
- We work with them on what is most important to them first until rapport is built.
- We will see them at the hospital, at their home, or in their community (including homeless encampments, shelters, etc.).
Goals of the Trauma Recovery Center

- Help to streamline the eligibility process for victims
- To identify additional barriers to accessing services
- To develop a comprehensive well-coordinated model of care that includes mental health, physical health, psychosocial services and legal advocacy and to do so in a manner which increases access to services.
- To provide these services in a culturally competent and sensitive manner.
- To evaluate this model to insure it is both treatment effective and cost effective.
from “Faces of Trauma” project, photographs by Oliver Saria
Comprehensive Intensive Clinical Case Management

- Victim Compensation benefits
- Other financial entitlements (SDI, SSI, GA, Medi-Cal)
- Arrangement for stable, safe housing
- Linkages with medical care (primary and specialty care)
- Accompany patients to appointments
- Liaison with community-based agencies
- Liaison with District Attorney’s office and San Francisco Police Department and legal advocacy
- Linkages with religious community
- Assistance in return to work
Outcomes

• An increase in victims of crime being able to access treatment, as measured by the number of approved victim witness applications and the number of treatment sessions attended
• A decrease in psychological and medical symptoms
• An increase in functional abilities (i.e. work, parenting, daily living activities)
• Minimization of long-term disability
• An overall improvement in quality of life
• Increased cooperation with law enforcement
• Increased linkages to other entitlements
• Patient satisfaction with services provided
Vanessa - Interventions

- Home visits by TRC
- Mental health services for Vanessa (trauma-focused therapy, support group, medication, grounding techniques)
- Mental health services for her children & grandchildren
- Help in returning her children/grandchildren to school
- Connection to child care assistance
- Assistance with meals
- Filed VCP application
- Filed State Disability
- Obtained Medi-Cal for the family
- Connection to primary care
- Section 8 housing
- Legal advocacy with SF Police & DA
- Car impounded as evidence - Donation of SUV
- Fund raising for family-donation of clothes, gifts for children/grandchildren
- Legal help to get custody of all the grandchildren
- Reconnection to Vanessa’s church
Vanessa – 1 year later

• Decrease in flashbacks, nightmares, improved sleep. No panic attacks.
• Able to leave house, drive her car
• Better managing High Blood Pressure & Diabetes
• TRC helped move family to new housing outside of SF
• Safe community, big back yard, children can walk to school
• Vanessa has returned to work as a hair stylist
• “I can function again. I went to TRC crying out for help, and their doors were open. They helped me find the strength to go on.”
Who provides these services?

- A multidisciplinary, culturally diverse staff of:
  - social workers
  - psychologists
  - psychiatrists
  - nurse practitioners / physician assistants
  - a research team
  - psychology and social work interns
  - medical students

- Language capacity in English, Spanish, Portuguese, Vietnamese, Japanese, Hindi, Urdu, Russian

- International Institute Partner: Amharic, Arabic, Mongolian, Tigre, Tigringa
from “Faces of Trauma” project, photographs by Oliver Saria
Mental Health Services

- Crisis debriefing, crisis intervention
- Individual trauma focused psychotherapy (16 sessions, with extensions possible)
- Psycho-education and support
- Medication management
- Family work
- Groups (Women’s Self Care, Domestic Violence, Seeking Safety, Mothers of Homicide Victims)
- Evidenced-based treatment: CBT, DBT, CPT, Harm Reduction, Motivational Interviewing
- Skill training (relaxation, containment, “seeking safety” skills)
- “Making positive meaning” of the trauma
- Community Debriefings
from “Faces of Trauma” project, photographs by Oliver Saria
Trauma History (N = 541)

- Childhood physical abuse: 40%
- Childhood sexual abuse: 24%
- Average total types of trauma: 4.6
Psychosocial characteristics (N = 541)

- Alcohol abuse: 71%
- Any weekly drug use: 58%
- Polysubstances: 24%
- Ever been arrested: 81%
- Currently on probation or parole: 25%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trauma Symptoms</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrusive memories</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;On guard&quot; (hypervigilant)</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty concentrating</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easily startled</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding things that remind them of the trauma</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flashbacks</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightmares</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Psychosocial Needs
(reported at baseline)

- 65% do not have health insurance
- 53% do not have money for medication
- 52% do not have enough money to cover rent
- 83% want help finding safer housing
- 74% want help finding a job or returning to work
- 70% are interested in mental health services
- 45% are interested in receiving substance abuse services
Improvement in Mental Health Services to Sexual Assault Victims

- Before TRC: 6%
- After TRC: 71%

Received Mental Health Follow-up
Increased Cooperation with Law Enforcement for Victims of Sexual Assault: Filing Police Reports

Before TRC - 42%
After TRC - 71%

% of sexual assault victims

Filed Police Report

UCSF University of California, San Francisco
from “Faces of Trauma” project, photographs by Oliver Saria
System Barriers to Accessing Victim Compensation Funds

Without extensive outreach:

- Only a small number of victims file a victim compensation application.
- Disadvantaged and young victims are less likely to file applications.
- Only a small number of victims receive mental health services.
VCP Applications Filed: TRC Patients Versus Usual Care Patients

- TRC Patients: 77%
- Usual Care: 28%
Victims with less education were less likely to file a claim with usual care. TRC services eliminated this education disparity.
Homeless victims were less likely to file with usual care. TRC services eliminated this disparity.
Reductions in VCP Application Disparities: Age

Younger victims were less likely to file with usual care. TRC services eliminated this age disparity.
Use of Mental Health Services: TRC vs. Usual Care Patients

- Usual Care Patients: 38%
- TRC Patients: 72%
Patient Self-Ratings of Functioning at the End of Treatment

• 93% said treatment helped them feel better emotionally
• 83% said treatment helped them cope better with medical problems
• 88% had improvements in day-to-day functioning
• 90% had improvements in relationships with family and friends
• 87% had improvements in dealing with alcohol and drug problems
99% reported that they were “moderately to extremely satisfied” with services provided.

97% reported that they would recommend the Center to their family and friends.
TRC provides a wider range of services than Fee-for-Service providers

TRC
Mental Health Care 72%
(includes clinical case management; individual, group and family psychotherapy; medication management; crisis intervention)

Usual Care
VCP Fee-for-Service

100% Office-based Psychotherapy

Consultation to Other Providers 1%
Inservice Training to Other Agencies 1%
Program Planning & Development 8%
Evaluation & Quality Assurance 2%
Clinical Training to Interns 5%
Outreach & Tracking to Clients 2%
Staff Training and Supervision 4%

TRC provides a wider range of services than Fee-for-Service providers. It offers a broader range of services including clinical case management, individual, group, and family psychotherapy, medication management, and crisis intervention. This is in contrast to Fee-for-Service providers, which usually focus on office-based psychotherapy.

TRC extends its services to include more comprehensive care options, ensuring a more holistic approach to mental health care.
Cost-Effectiveness: TRC provides a wider range of services at a lower unit cost

when overhead / program support costs are included, TRC unit costs are 34% lower than VCP FFS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TRC (with overhead / program support costs)</th>
<th>VCP FFS (without overhead / program support costs)</th>
<th>VCP FFS (with overhead / program support costs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
<td>$66.81</td>
<td>$72.23</td>
<td>$101.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Patient Cost</td>
<td>$1,801</td>
<td>$1,045</td>
<td>1,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Patient Units of Service</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[FY03/04 costs, most recent year with complete data]
PTSD Symptoms (PCL)  
N=261

Probable diagnosis of PTSD

Symptoms decreased 38% between intake and session 16 (p<.0001)
Depression Symptoms (PHQ9)  
N=261

Symptoms decreased 52% between intake and session 16 (p<.0001)
Sleep Quality (PROMIS)
N=261

Sleep quality improved by 20% between intake and session 16 (p<.0001)
Physical Pain (PEG)
N=261

Physical pain decreased by **12%** between intake and session 16 (p=.01)
Overall Quality of Life (WHOQOL)
N=261

Overall quality of life increased by 16% between intake and session 16 (p<.0001)
Teaching and Training
TRC Training Center

- TRC Trauma Training Program
  - Residents
  - Medical students
  - Masters-level Social Work interns
  - Doctoral-level and Post-Doctoral-level Psychology interns
Teaching and Training: TRC Training Center

- Community-based trainings
  - health care providers
  - community workers
  - law enforcement staff, DA’s office
- Clinical training & consultation
  - trauma-informed and trauma-focused treatment services
- Workshops on vicarious traumatization
  - Local and State Agencies
TRC Replication

Senate Bill 71 (Leno)

• Revised Section 13963.1 of the Government Code – directing the California Victim Compensation program to administer and award grants to develop Trauma Recovery Centers in California

• Replication began in 2014 - in Long Beach, 3 in L.A., UCSF TRC, Stockton and Solano County, Sacramento and Oakland.
Cat having worked very hard to get somewhere, now wondering where it is she really got.
Brick walls let us know our dedication. They are there to separate us from those that really don’t want to achieve their dreams.

- Randy Pausch, 2007
Brick walls force us to be creative in finding our way around the walls.
Prop 47 – Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act

• Voter initiative enacted into law January, 2015.
• Changes sentencing for low-level non violent crimes (such as simple drug possession) from felonies to misdemeanors.
• Directs savings from reduced prison and jail sentences –
  • 65% - mental health and drug treatment diversion programs
  • 25% - community violence prevention and support programs in K-12 schools
  • 10% - to fund Trauma Recovery Centers throughout California
Fostering Evidence-Based Practices
Funding, Support, Accountability

Why EBPs?
Why EBPs?

• Evidence-based practices are developed through research and implementation
• EBP’s are interventions that have been shown to work
• EBPs are consistent with scientific evidence showing that the intervention can improve client outcomes
Integrated Trauma Recovery Services

Core Elements
Questions:

1. How to adopt this model for rural areas?

2. How do we approach building similar models in places that lack infrastructure? For example, in places where there are not a lot of mental health service providers?
How do we build capacity?
Implementing Evidence-Based Practice at a State Level

• Effective organization
  – Solid administrative support, agency stability and shared vision

• The model needs to have core elements that can be implemented across sites but also flexible and adaptable.

• Creating the infrastructure to insure the model is successful across diverse communities and geographical regions.
Implementing Evidence-Based Practice at a State Level

• Standardized training, technical assistance and support across sites – initially and on an ongoing basis.

• Standardized Program Evaluation and Performance Measurement across sites to measure:
  – Staff productivity
  – Client outcomes
  – Quality improvement

• This involves additional costs – however the economic consequence to not creating this infrastructure are far greater than the cost of creating an accountable system.
“Do not be daunted by the enormity of the world’s grief. Do justly, now. Love mercy, now. Walk humbly, now. You are not obligated to complete the work, but neither are you free to abandon it. –the Talmud
Contact Information

Alicia Boccellari, Ph.D.
Director, UCSF Trauma Recovery Center
2727 Mariposa Street, Suite 100
San Francisco, CA 94110

e.mail: alicia.boccellari@sfdph.org
Phone: 415-437-3055